Pupil premium strategy statement 2023-24

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| School name | Wolverhampton Girls' High School |
| Number of pupils in school | 1181 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 12.8 |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan | 2022-2023 |
| covers (3-year plans are recommended) | 2023-2024 |
| | 2024-2025 |
| Date this statement was published | December 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | December 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | T Young |
| Pupil premium lead | V Waller |
| Governor / Trustee lead | G Fox |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|--|-------------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £112,192.00 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £0 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £O |
| Total budget for this academic year | £112,192.00 |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year | |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Aim: To ensure our disadvantaged students achieve their full potential in their social, emotional, and educational journey at WGHS, regardless of any barriers to learning they may face.

Vision: We recognise that our students are academically able as grammar school entrants but understand that our disadvantaged students may face a range of challenges that prevent them from fully accessing the opportunities that a high-quality education offers them. Our focus is that all students, regardless of background, can do and be their best, making good progress and achieving high attainment across our academically rigorous curriculum, whilst also immersing themselves in our culturally rich extra-curricular offer, enrichment programme and careers opportunities.

High quality, adaptive, responsive teaching is at the heart of our approach. The evidence-research has proven this to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap whilst at the same time benefitting our non-disadvantaged students too, ensuring our approach is fully inclusive.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, including those who have a social worker or are young carers, and are committed to narrowing any gap between our disadvantaged students and their peers, ensuring they are able to access all aspects of their school journey.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individualised needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, rather than assumptions about the impact of disadvantage.

Objectives:

- To ensure all students receive high quality, inclusive and adaptive teaching and learning within the classroom;
- To ensure all staff maintain high expectations for all students;
- To ensure all staff can identify the needs of disadvantaged students and work collaboratively to support these students to make progress in line with their peers;
- To provide individual support for students who are not making expected progress in lessons;
- To remove barriers to access and learning caused by financial, family, and social disadvantage;
- To ensure attendance at school of disadvantaged students is at least in line with the school's expectations;
- To ensure pupils are supported in their social and emotional wellbeing;
- To address literacy and cultural capital gaps among disadvantaged pupils, and
- To support our disadvantaged students to engage fully with all aspects of school life through the taught curriculum, enrichment opportunities, careers, and extra-curricular programme.

Challenges

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|---------------------|---|
| 1 | Maintaining the excellent attainment and progress of our disadvantaged students in line with their non-disadvantaged peers in the GCSE and A-level examinations. |
| 2 | Limited access to life experiences and exposure to cultural capital that may restrict learning op- portunities. This is particularly important for high achieving students aiming for Oxbridge, Rus- sell Group, degree level apprenticeships and other universities. This includes activities such as school trips (including residentials), wider enrichment experiences (such as the Duke of Edin- burgh award) and access to music lessons. |
| 3 | Unable to participate in all aspects of school life due to financial restrictions. |
| 4 | Unable to access educational resources and support due to financial restrictions. |
| 5 | Some students may have specific learning, social, emotional and mental health needs that require additional support, help and guidance. |
| 6 | Maintaining high levels of attendance in line with school expectations. |
| 7 | Maintaining access to high quality careers, further education and apprenticeships and higher education guidance. |

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
|--|--|
| Disadvantaged students achieve GCSE and A-level outcomes in line with their peers. | Students achieve report and aspirational grades in line with their peers and well above the national average. |
| Timely identification of gaps in students' learning with particular reference to disadvantaged students. | Centralised data tracking of disadvantaged students' progress and attainment against their peers. |
| | Regular department meeting progress and attainment check-ins. |
| | SoLs adapted to ensure gaps in knowledge are addressed. |
| | Individualised support is provided when needed. |
| Provide a high quality and extensive CPD offer for staff: pedagogical, subject specific and leadership and man- agement focused. Ensure this follows the EEF profes- sional development guidance and is evidence based. | Staff are encouraged to engage in personalised CPD and are provided with the time, re- sources, and financial support to enhance their professional development. This can be through whole school INSET days, elective sessions, coaching, support with national qualifications, |

| Disadvantaged students participate fully in school life. | working parties, outreach and any other avenue that supports a member of staff to develop professionally. Participation in the curriculum beyond the classroom is in line with those not eligible for PP funding. |
|--|---|
| Financial support is readily accessed when needed. | Funding to be claimed for transport, uniform, equipment, school trips, extra-curricular grants, music lessons. |
| Digital provision i.e. laptops / Teams access is available when needed. | Laptops to be loaned to students in need, starting in Y11 and moving down to Y7, depending on availability. |
| Students have access to a Counsellor, Ed Psychologist, and other trusted members of staff trained in mental health first aid, to help with mental health barriers that require further support. | Clear guidance given to students on who to go to if they wish to seek help for their mental health. They receive information to support them in identifying symptoms of poor mental health, as well as providing them with the per- sonal tools to manage stress and have various sources of support available to them. |
| High attendance rates. | Maintain high levels of attendance across all key stages that is in line with school expectations and reflects well in comparison to non-PP attendance |
| Successful careers guidance and destination outcomes. | Maintain appropriate careers advice and support given to PP students in making suitable and ambitious choices for Russell Group Universities, Degree Level Apprenticeships, Further Education Training or Employment at Post-18. Provision of a careers programme that meets the Gatsby Benchmarks. Destinations for leavers to show all PP students able to access Level 4 courses in universities or apprenticeships, where this is the most appropriate route. |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

1) Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £47, 976.28 (includes strategies one: teaching and two: targeted academic support)

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| High quality, inclusive and responsive teaching and learning for all | Specific strategies from the EEF PP Menu employed: Teacher knowledge, understanding and expertise of the cognitive science of learning and a direct, instructional pedagogical approach (EEF 'Cognitive Science Approaches in the Classroom: A Review of the Evidence' and EEF T&L Toolkit +5 months progress) Purposeful curriculum design Production of high-quality curriculum materials Purposeful use of feedback (EEF T&L Toolkit +6 months progress) Effective use of digital technology (EEF's 'Using Digital Technology to Improve Learning') Metacognition (EEF T&L Toolkit +7 months progress) | 1 |
| Monitoring academic progress and attainment | Specific strategies from the EEF PP Menu employed: | 1 |
| | Purposeful use of assessment, including standardisation E.g. | |
| | Robust tracking systems that are linked with ef- fective interventions for disadvantaged pupils. PP pupils targeted as a learning group in depart- ment progress meetings | |
| Recruitment and retention of high-quality teaching staff | Specific strategies from the EEF PP Menu em- ployed: | 1 |
| | Curriculum content is delivered by subject spe- cialists with extensive subject knowledge. | |
| Support for ECT | Specific strategies from the EEF PP Menu employed: | 1 |

| | Early Careers teachers have experienced mentors | |
|--------------------------------|--|------|
| | to ensure that all they receive regular and per- | |
| | sonalised support which complements a pro- | |
| | gramme of professional development for all. | |
| Staff CPD on pedagogical prac- | EEF guidance on 'Effective Professional Develop- | 1 |
| tice | ment' | _ |
| | Coaching programme (EEF 'Effective | |
| | Mechanisms of PD') | |
| | - T&L development department meetings | |
| | - Embedding of a direct, instructional ap- | |
| | proach (Rosenshine) through staff train- | |
| | ing (EEF 'Cognitive Science Approaches in | |
| | the Classroom: A Review of the Evidence' | |
| | and EEF T&L Toolkit +5 months progress) | |
| | - Embedding of a fully inclusive pedagogi- | |
| | cal approach through QFT | |
| | - T&L Research Group: assessment and | |
| | feedback (EEF T&L Toolkit +6 months pro- | |
| | gress) | |
| Access to support from | In school support both to teachers and | 1, 5 |
| SENDCO | students to understand individualised needs | , - |
| | through PEPs and pupil passports. | |
| | (EEF T&L Toolkit +4 months SEL) | |

2) Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £47, 976.28 (includes strategies one: teaching and two: targeted academic support)

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Peer-to-peer Support | Use of Sixth Form students to help with lower school students facing barriers to their learning (EEF T&L Toolkit +5 months pro- gress) | 1, 2, 4, 5 |
| Year 11 Maths and English Tutoring Interventions | Education Endowment Foundation (EEF T&L Toolkit +4 months progress) | 1, 4 |
| Academic mentors | Education Endowment Foundation (EEF T&L Toolkit +4 months progress) when acting as 1-to-1 tutors | 1, 4 |
| VESPA coaching | Education Endowment Foundation (EEF T&L Toolkit +7 months progress) | 1,4, 5 |

3) Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £64,215.72

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Provide PP students with financial support for the costs of transport, uniform, equipment, extra-curricular opportunities, music lessons and trips. | Enables students to fully access all the opportunities made available to them by remov- ing financial barriers to access (EEF T&L Toolkit +1 Month; +4 Months) | 3, 4, 2 |
| House Festivals: Arts, Discovery and Culture | Enables students to fully immerse themselves in school life (EEF Toolkit +3) | 2 |
| Educational Welfare Officer and attendance monitoring | Robust monitoring of attendance and referral to EWO as required will ensure that attendance con- cerns are addressed, and attendance levels are kept above the national average. (EEF T&L Toolkit +4 months progress) | 5, 6 |
| Access to professional counselling services | Addressing issues outside school which can provide barriers to learning (EEF T&L Toolkit +4 months progress) | 5 |
| Access to careers advice | Students receive careers talks, resources and as- semblies from Year 7 onwards - focusing on ap- prenticeships, industry, universities courses, sub- ject options, work experience and individual ca- reers advice. Form strong links with alumnae to assist in the delivery of this extensive pro- gramme. Buy into Compass and Careers & Enter- prise packages to support access to industry. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/govern- ment/uploads/system/uploads/attach- ment_data/file/1002972/Careers_statutory_guid- ance.pdf</u> | 7 |

Total budgeted cost: £112,192.00

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

During the academic year of 2022-23 we had a whole-school focus on 'checking for understanding' within the classroom that ensured disadvantaged students' progress in knowing, remembering, and doing more, resulted in the development of long-term memory. This was borne out in our excellent results for disadvantaged students in Year 11, who achieved a Progress 8 score of 0.61. This is significantly higher than the LA non-disadvantaged Progress 8 score of 0.23 and national non-disadvantaged score of 0.17. We attained a similarly high picture at A-level where 100% Bursary students achieved A*-E, unlike our non-disadvantaged students.

As a school, we continued to employ Rosenshine's range of universal strategies ensuring that all students could access our ambitious curriculum through direct, instruction methods that are inclusive by design. We understand that knowledge is generative and allows for comprehension and understanding and as such our new Schemes of Learning and Vertical Curriculum Plans now outline each subject's big-picture end products, making clear how new learning is linked to prior learning and how it prepares the pathway for future learning. Furthermore, by utilising a range of Rosenshine's strategies alongside adaptive teaching methods within the classroom, it enabled teachers to provide in-class interventions where disadvantaged students benefited from targeted 1:1 guidance and supportive, corrective feedback when needed.

Disadvantaged students' progress data was tracked by CLs, HLs and SLT throughout the course of the year, enabling disadvantaged students to be targeted for additional support outside of the classroom, when needed. Such targeted support included: subject specific peer mentoring; 1:1 academic mentoring for English, Maths, French and Latin, academic enrichment sessions in the Sciences and Maths at 6F level; MyTutor subscription packages, VESPA coaching, department-level subject-specific interventions; and learning support sessions with our pastoral wellbeing officers.

These interventions, on average, ensured that more rapid progress was made between internal mock examinations and external summative examinations for disadvantaged intervention students, than their peers. For example, for academic mentoring at Y11:

| | Autumn Mock | GCSE Exam results | Improvement over |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Progress 8 | progress 8 | time |
| Academic mentoring & PP (5) | -0.07 | 0.27 | 0.34 |
| Academic mentoring & Non-PP (50) | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.21 |

It is worth noting that all disadvantaged students who had interventions in Years 11 and 13, improved upon their last mock results in their summer external examination.

Supporting students with their social, emotional and mental health continued to remain a priority and, where needed, PP students were supported with therapeutic help from The Hub.

During the academic year of 2022-23, all Sixth Form and Y11 and Y10 students were provided with a device to help access learning at home, enabling them to use online materials and resources delivered via MS Teams. Similarly, all disadvantaged students were offered financial assistance to help with ac-

cessing all aspects of school life, including extra-curricular and enrichment opportunities. It was particularly pleasing to see that disadvantaged students' participation in extra-curricular activities was higher than their peers.

Disadvantaged students' attendance continues to remain in line with their peers.

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

| Programme | Provider |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Online tutoring programme | MyTutor |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

| Measure | Details |
|--|---|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | Service children received the same support as other Pupil Premium students. Given the numbers involved it is not materially beneficial to reduce the support package for these students despite the lower financial allocation. |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | Service pupil premium students are analysed with all Pupil Premium students. |